arXiv:1106.3143v1 [math.OA] 16 Jun 2011

IDEALS IN OPERATOR SPACE PROJECTIVE TENSOR PRODUCT OF C^*-ALGEBRAS

RANJANA JAIN AND AJAY KUMAR

Abstract. For C^*-algebras A and B, we prove the slice map conjecture for ideals in the operator space projective tensor product $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. As an application, a characterization of prime ideals in the Banach $*$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is obtained. Further, we study the primitive ideals, modular ideals and the maximal modular ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. It is also shown that the Banach $*$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ possesses Wiener property; and that, for a subhomogeneous C^*-algebra A, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is symmetric.

1. Introduction

A systematic study of tensor products of subspaces and subalgebras of C^*-algebras was initiated by Blecher and Paulsen [7], and Effros and Ruan [9, 10]. Analogous constructions to those of Banach spaces; for example, quotients, duals and tensor products were defined and studied. For a Hilbert space H, let $B(H)$ denote the bounded operators on H. An operator space X on H is just a closed subspace of $B(H)$. If E and F are operator spaces, then the operator space projective tensor product, denoted by $E\widehat{\otimes}F$, is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_\wedge = \inf\{\|\alpha\|\|v\|\|w\|\|\beta\| : u = \alpha(v \otimes w)\beta\},$$

where the infimum runs over arbitrary decompositions with $v \in M_p(E)$, $w \in M_q(F)$, $\alpha \in M_{1,pq}$, $\beta \in M_{pq,1}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary; $M_{k,l}$ being the space of $k \times l$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. If E and F are C^*-algebras, then $E\widehat{\otimes}F$ admits a Banach algebra with canonical isometric involution [16]. The main objective of this paper is to study the closed $*$-ideals of this Banach $*$-algebra.

In Section 2, we study the slice map problem for ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Tomiyama [25] studied the slice maps on the tensor product of C^*-algebras with respect to the 'min'-norm. Later, Wassermann [26] discussed the slice map problem in greater detail, which was then studied and used in different contexts - see, for instance, [2, 27]. It is interesting to know that the slice map property is not true for the 'min' norm for all C^*-algebras. In fact, for the 'min' norm the slice map problem for ideals is equivalent to the problem of whether every tensor product $A \otimes_{\min} B$ has Property F of Tomiyama [26, Remark 24]. In 1991, Smith [23] studied the slice map property for the Haagerup norm and proved that the slice map conjecture is true for all subspaces of $B(H)$. We give

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L06,46L07,47L25.

Key words and phrases. C^*-algebras, Operator space projective tensor norm, Haagerup tensor product.

an affirmative answer to the slice map conjecture for ideals with respect to the operator space projective tensor norm.

The ideal structure for the Haagerup tensor product and the 'min' norm has been studied extensively in [1], [3] and [24]. In [16] and [14], the authors investigated some properties of the closed ideals of the projective tensor product $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, for example, sum of the product ideals, minimal and the maximal ideals. In Section 3, we discuss a characterization of prime ideals, primitive ideals, and maximal modular ideals of the Banach $*$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Finally, in Section 4, certain $*$-algebraic properties of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, namely, Wiener property and symmetry are studied. Throughout the paper, A and B will denote C^*-algebras unless otherwise specified.

Recall that the Haagerup norm of an element u in the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes B$ of two C^*-algebras A and B is defined by

$$\|u\|_h = \inf\{\|\Sigma_i\, a_i a_i^*\|^{1/2}\ \|\Sigma_i\, b_i^* b_i\|^{1/2} : \ u = \Sigma_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i\}.$$

The Haagerup tensor product $A \otimes_h B$ is defined to be the completion of $A \otimes B$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_h$. Also, the Banach space projective norm of $u \in A \otimes B$ is given by

$$\|u\|_\gamma = \inf\{\Sigma_i\, \|a_i\|\|b_i\| \ : \ u = \Sigma_{i=1}^n\ a_i \otimes b_i\}.$$

The norms $\|\cdot\|_h, \|\cdot\|_\wedge$ and $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ on the tensor product $A \otimes B$ of two C^*-algebras A and B satisfy

$$\|\cdot\|_h \ \leq\ \|\cdot\|_\wedge\ \leq\ \|\cdot\|_\gamma.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions on A and B for the equivalence of these norms can be seen in [17].

2. Slice Map Property for Ideals

For each $\phi \in A^*$, define a linear map $R_\phi : A \otimes B \to B$ by

$$R_\phi(\Sigma_{i=1}^n\, a_i \otimes b_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^n\, \phi(a_i) b_i.$$

Then, it can be easily seen that R_ϕ is well defined. Also, it is continuous with respect to the 'min'-norm [26] and hence for the larger operator space projective tensor norm with $\|R_\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|$; so, it can be extended to $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ as a bounded linear map and is known as the *right slice map* associated to ϕ. Similarly, one can define the left slice map L_ψ for each $\psi \in B^*$. For a closed ideal J of B, $A\widehat{\otimes}J$ is a closed ideal of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ [16] and clearly $R_\phi(x) \in J$ for all $x \in A\widehat{\otimes}J$. We prove the converse of this statement which is known as the slice map problem for ideals.

Lemma 2.1. *The set $\{R_\phi : \phi \in A^*\}$ is total on $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, that is, if $x \in A\widehat{\otimes}B$ and $R_\phi(x) = 0$ for all $\phi \in A^*$, then $x = 0$.*

Proof. For $\phi \in A^*$ and $\psi \in B^*$, consider $\phi \otimes \psi : A \otimes B \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$(\phi \otimes \psi)(\Sigma_i\, a_i \otimes b_i) = \Sigma_i\, \phi(a_i)\psi(b_i).$$

Note that, by the definition of the Banach space injective norm λ [24, page 188], we have $|\Sigma_i\, \phi(a_i)\psi(b_i)| \leq \|\phi\|\|\psi\|\|\Sigma_i a_i \otimes b_i\|_\lambda$. Thus $\phi \otimes \psi$ is continuous with respect to larger norms, in particular, 'min'-norm and '$\wedge$'-norm; so, $\phi \otimes \psi$ can be extended to continuous linear functionals on $A \otimes_{\min} B$ and $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Let us denote its extensions by $\phi \otimes_{\min} \psi$ and $\phi \widehat{\otimes} \psi$ respectively. We claim that the set $\{\phi \widehat{\otimes} \psi : \phi \in A^*, \psi \in B^*\}$ is total on $A \widehat{\otimes} B$. For this, consider an element $x \in A \widehat{\otimes} B$ such that

$$(\phi \widehat{\otimes} \psi)(x) = 0, \forall \phi \in A^*, \psi \in B^*.$$

Observe that for the canonical map $i : A \widehat{\otimes} B \to A \otimes_{\min} B$, the maps $\phi \widehat{\otimes} \psi$ and $(\phi \otimes_{\min} \psi) \circ i$ both are continuous on $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ and agree on $A \otimes B$, giving $(\phi \otimes_{\min} \psi)(i(x)) = 0$ for all $\phi \in A^*$, $\psi \in B^*$. Now, for faithful representations $\{\pi_A, H\}$ and $\{\pi_B, K\}$ of A and B respectively, for $\xi_i \in H$, $\eta_i \in K$, $i = 1, 2$; $\phi := \langle \pi_A(\cdot)\xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \in A^*$, $\psi := \langle \pi_B(\cdot)\eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle \in B^*$; so

$$0 = (\phi \otimes_{\min} \psi)(i(x)) = \langle (\pi_A \otimes \pi_B)(i(x))\xi_1 \otimes \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle.$$

This holds for all $\xi_i \in H$, $\eta_i \in K$; $i = 1, 2$ giving $(\pi_A \otimes \pi_B)(i(x)) = 0$. Using the facts that $\pi_A \otimes \pi_B$ is faithful [24, Theorem IV.4.9], and that i is injective [13, Corollary 1] we obtain the claim. Finally, the relation

$$\langle x, \phi \widehat{\otimes} \psi \rangle = \langle R_\phi(x), \psi \rangle = \langle L_\psi(x), \phi \rangle, \forall x \in A \widehat{\otimes} B,$$

gives the required result. □

Recall that, for Banach spaces X and Y, a mapping $\theta : X \to Y$ is said to be a *quotient map* if it maps the open unit ball of X onto that of Y [9]. Clearly, a quotient map is surjective, and for Banach space X and a closed subspace Y of X, the canonical quotient map $\pi : X \to X/Y$ is a quotient map in the above sense. Like in the case of Haagerup tensor product [1], the operator space projective tensor product of quotient maps behaves nicely. Although straight forward, we include a proof of the following for the sake of convenience:

LEMMA 2.2. *Let I and J be closed ideals of the C^*-algebras A and B, and $\pi : A \to A/I$ and $\rho : B \to B/J$ be the quotient maps. Then,*

(1) *$\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho : A \widehat{\otimes} B \to (A/I) \widehat{\otimes} (B/J)$ is a quotient map with*

$$\ker(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho) = A \widehat{\otimes} J + I \widehat{\otimes} B.$$

(2) *for a closed ideal K of $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ containing $\ker(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)$, $(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(K)$ is a closed ideal of $(A/I) \widehat{\otimes} (B/J)$ with*

$$(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)^{-1}((\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(K)) = K.$$

Proof. (1) This follows directly from [14, Proposition 3.5].
(2) Consider an element $(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(x) \in (A/I) \widehat{\otimes} (B/J)$ such that $(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(x) \in \mathrm{cl}((\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(K))$, where $x \in A \widehat{\otimes} B$. Given an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in K$ such that

$$\|(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)(k - x)\|_{(A/I) \widehat{\otimes} (B/J)} < \epsilon.$$

Using part (1) above, there is an isomorphism between $(A \widehat{\otimes} B)/Z$ and $(A/I) \widehat{\otimes} (B/J)$, where $Z = \ker(\pi \widehat{\otimes} \rho)$. Therefore,

$$\|(k - x) + Z\|_{(A \widehat{\otimes} B)/Z} < c\epsilon,$$

for some constant c. So, there exists some $z \in Z \subseteq K$ with $\|(k + z) - x\|_{(A \widehat{\otimes} B)/Z} \leq c\epsilon$. Since K is closed and $k + z \in K$, we must have $x \in K$, which proves the claim. Finally, the equation in the statement is a routine verification. □

We are now prepared to present a proof of the slice map problem for ideals.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let J be a closed ideal of B. Then*

$$A\widehat{\otimes}J = \{x \in A\widehat{\otimes}B : R_\phi(x) \in J \text{ for all } \phi \in A^*\}.$$

Proof. Consider an element $x \in A\widehat{\otimes}B$ such that $R_\phi(x) \in J$ for all $\phi \in A^*$. From Lemma 2.2, corresponding to the quotient map $\pi : B \to B/J$, we have another quotient map $i\widehat{\otimes}\pi : A\widehat{\otimes}B \to A\widehat{\otimes}(B/J)$ with $\ker(i\widehat{\otimes}\pi) = A\widehat{\otimes}J$, where '$i$' is the identity map on A. Also observe that, by continuity and agreement on $A \otimes B$,

$$\pi \circ R_\phi = r_\phi \circ (i\widehat{\otimes}\pi),$$

where $r_\phi : A\widehat{\otimes}(B/J) \to B/J$ is the right slice map. Using the fact that $R_\phi(x) \in J$ for all $\phi \in A^*$, we obtain $r_\phi(i\widehat{\otimes}\pi(x)) = 0$ for all $\phi \in A^*$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $i\widehat{\otimes}\pi(x) = 0$; so that $x \in \ker(i\widehat{\otimes}\pi) = A\widehat{\otimes}J$. The other containment is easy. □

We next give an application of Theorem 2.3 which will be used later to characterize the prime ideals. For the Haagerup norm such a result was proved for subspaces of $B(H)$ in [23, Corollary 4.6].

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let A_1, A_2 and B_1, B_2 be closed ideals of A and B, respectively. Then,*

$$(A_1\widehat{\otimes}B_1) \cap (A_2\widehat{\otimes}B_2) = (A_1 \cap A_2)\widehat{\otimes}(B_1 \cap B_2).$$

Proof. Since $A_i\widehat{\otimes}B_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are closed ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ [16], it is easy to see that

$$(A_1 \cap A_2)\widehat{\otimes}(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq (A_1\widehat{\otimes}B_1) \cap (A_2\widehat{\otimes}B_2).$$

For the other containment, consider an element $v \in (A_1\widehat{\otimes}B_1)\cap(A_2\widehat{\otimes}B_2)$. Then, $R_\phi(v) \in B_1 \cap B_2$ for all $\phi \in A^*$; so, by Theorem 2.3, $v \in A\widehat{\otimes}(B_1 \cap B_2)$. Next, consider any $\psi \in (B_1 \cap B_2)^*$ and let $\tilde{\psi}$ be an extension on B^*. Again, $L_{\tilde{\psi}}(v) \in (A_1 \cap A_2)$ and $L_\psi(v) = L_{\tilde{\psi}}(v)$; so that $L_\psi(v) \in (A_1 \cap A_2)$. This is true for every $\psi \in (B_1 \cap B_2)^*$; so, applying the slice map property once again for the left slice map, we obtain $v \in (A_1 \cap A_2)\widehat{\otimes}(B_1 \cap B_2)$, which proves the claim. □

Using the slice map property for the right and the left slice maps, and the technique of extending linear functionals as done in Proposition 2.4, we can easily deduce the following:

COROLLARY 2.5. *For closed ideals I and J of A and B respectively, we have*

$$I\widehat{\otimes}J = \{x \in A\widehat{\otimes}B : \; R_\phi(x) \in J,\, L_\psi(x) \in I;\, \forall\, \phi \in A^*,\, \forall\, \psi \in B^*\}.$$

3. IDEAL STRUCTURE FOR $A\widehat{\otimes}B$

This section deals with the structure of prime ideals, primitive ideals and modular ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ which play an important role in determining the structure of a Banach $*$-algebra. In a Banach algebra a proper closed ideal K is said to be *prime* if for any pair of closed ideals I and J satisfying $IJ \subseteq K$, either $I \subseteq K$ or $J \subseteq K$. It is well known that a proper closed ideal K of a C^*-algebra A is prime if and only if for any pair of closed ideals I and J satisfying

$I \cap J \subseteq K$, either $I \subseteq K$ or $J \subseteq K$. This property is also true for $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ as can be explicitly observed from the following result. The proof of the following result is largely inspired by [1].

Theorem 3.1. *A closed ideal K in $A \widehat{\otimes} B$ is prime if and only if $K = A \widehat{\otimes} F + E \widehat{\otimes} B$ for some prime ideals E and F in A and B respectively.*

Proof. Let K be a closed prime ideal. We can choose closed ideals E and F in A and B which are maximal with respect to the property $A \widehat{\otimes} F + E \widehat{\otimes} B \subseteq K$. Now consider the quotient maps $\pi : A \to A/E$ and $\rho : B \to B/F$. Since $\ker(\pi \otimes \rho) \subseteq K$, by Lemma 2.2, $(\pi \otimes \rho)(K)$ is a closed ideal of $A/E \widehat{\otimes} B/F$. We claim that $(\pi \otimes \rho)(K) = 0$; this would imply $K = A \widehat{\otimes} F + E \widehat{\otimes} B$. If possible, let the ideal $(\pi \otimes \rho)(K)$ be non-zero. Then, it must contain a non-zero elementary tensor, say, $\pi(a) \otimes \rho(b)$, where $a \otimes b \in K$ [14, Proposition 3.7]. Let E_0 and F_0 be the closed ideals generated by a and b respectively. Then, the product ideal $E_0 \widehat{\otimes} F_0$ is contained in K. Now, consider the product ideals $M = A \widehat{\otimes} (F + F_0)$ and $N = (E + E_0) \widehat{\otimes} B$. Using Proposition 2.4 and [14, Proposition 3.6], we have

$$MN \subseteq M \cap N = E \widehat{\otimes} F + E \widehat{\otimes} F_0 + E_0 \widehat{\otimes} F + E_0 \widehat{\otimes} F_0.$$

It is clear that $MN \subseteq K$, so that either $M \subseteq K$ or $N \subseteq K$. Using the maximality property of E and F, we have either $E_0 \subseteq E$ or $F_0 \subseteq F$. Thus, either $\pi(a) = 0$ or $\rho(b) = 0$ contradicting the fact that $(\pi \otimes \rho)(a \otimes b) \neq 0$.

Next we prove that E and F are prime ideals. Note that E and F both are proper ideals, K being proper. Let $I \cap J \subseteq E$ for some closed ideals I and J of A. Then, $(I \widehat{\otimes} B)(J \widehat{\otimes} B) \subseteq (I \widehat{\otimes} B) \cap (J \widehat{\otimes} B) \subseteq K$; so, either $I \widehat{\otimes} B \subseteq K$ or $J \widehat{\otimes} B \subseteq K$. Without loss of generality, let $I \widehat{\otimes} B \subseteq K$. Consider any $\phi \in E^{\perp} \subseteq A^*$ and $0 \neq \psi \in F^{\perp}$. Then, $(\phi \otimes \psi)(K) = 0$ which further gives $(\phi \otimes \psi)(I \widehat{\otimes} B) = 0$. Since this is true for any $\phi \in E^{\perp}$, we must have $I \subseteq E$. Thus, E is prime and by a similar argument F is also prime.

For the converse, let us assume that $K = A \widehat{\otimes} F + E \widehat{\otimes} B$ for some prime ideals E and F in A and B respectively. Let $IJ \subseteq K$ for some closed ideals I and J of $A \widehat{\otimes} B$. Define the closed ideals M and N as

$$M = \mathrm{cl}(I + K) \text{ and } N = \mathrm{cl}(J + K).$$

Then $K \subseteq M$, $K \subseteq N$ and $MN \subseteq K$. We claim that either $M = K$ or $N = K$, which further implies that either $I \subseteq K$ or $J \subseteq K$. Suppose, on the contrary, that both the containments $K \subseteq M$ and $K \subseteq N$ are strict. We now claim that M contains a product ideal $M_1 \widehat{\otimes} N_1$ which is not contained in K. As done previously, since $K \subsetneq M$, $(\pi \otimes \rho)(M)$ is a non-zero closed ideal of $A/E \widehat{\otimes} B/F$ with $(\pi \otimes \rho)^{-1}((\pi \otimes \rho)(M)) = M$. So, $(\pi \otimes \rho)(M)$ contains a non-zero elementary tensor say $\pi(a) \otimes \rho(b)$. Define M_1 and N_1 to be the closed ideals generated by a and b. Then $M_1 \widehat{\otimes} N_1$ is contained in M but not in K. Similarly, N contains a product ideal $M_2 \widehat{\otimes} N_2$ which is not contained in K. By routine calculations, it is easily seen that

$$M_1 M_2 \widehat{\otimes} N_1 N_2 = \mathrm{cl}((M_1 \widehat{\otimes} N_1)(M_2 \widehat{\otimes} N_2)) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(MN) \subseteq K,$$

which further gives

$$\pi(M_1 M_2) \otimes \rho(N_1 N_2) \subseteq (\pi \otimes \rho)(M_1 M_2 \widehat{\otimes} N_1 N_2) = \{0\}.$$

So either $M_1M_2 \subseteq \ker\pi = E$ or $N_1N_2 \subseteq \ker\rho = F$. Now, both E and F are prime, so at least one of the following containments must hold:

$$M_1 \subseteq E,\ M_2 \subseteq E,\ N_1 \subseteq F,\ N_2 \subseteq F.$$

In all these cases, either $M_1\widehat{\otimes}N_1$ or $M_2\widehat{\otimes}N_2$ is contained in K, which is a contradiction. Thus, K is prime. $\square$

A closed ideal I of a Banach $*$-algebra E is said to be *primitive* if it is the kernel of an irreducible $*$-representation of E on some Hilbert space. The following gives a characterization of the primitive ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$.

Theorem 3.2. *For C^*-algebras A and B, we have the following:*

1. *If E and F are primitive ideals of A and B respectively, then $A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B$ is also a primitive ideal of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$.*
2. *If K is a primitive ideal of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$, then $K = A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B$ for some prime ideals E and F of A and B, respectively.*
3. *If A and B are separable, then K is primitive if and only if $K = A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B$ for some primitive ideals E and F of A and B, respectively.*

Proof. (1) Since E and F are primitive ideals, there exist irreducible $*$- representations $\pi_1 : A \to B(H_1)$ and $\pi_2 : B \to B(H_2)$ such that $E = \ker\pi_1$ and $F = \ker\pi_2$. Define $\pi : A \otimes B \to B(H_1 \otimes H_2)$ by

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a) \otimes \pi_2(b).$$

Then, by the definition of min-norm [24], π is bounded with respect to the min-norm and hence the '$\wedge$' norm; so, π can be extended to $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ as a bounded $*$-representation. We first claim that π is irreducible, equivalently, $\pi(A\widehat{\otimes}B)' = \mathbb{C}I$. Since $\pi(A\widehat{\otimes}B) \supset \pi_1(A)\otimes\pi_2(B)$, we have $\pi(A\widehat{\otimes}B)' \subseteq (\pi_1(A)\overline{\otimes}\pi_2(B))'$, where $\overline{\otimes}$ denotes the weak closure. Further, π_1 and π_2 being irreducible, $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_2(B)$ are non-degenerate $*$-subalgebras of $B(H_1)$ and $B(H_2)$, respectively; so that, by Double Commutant Theorem, $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_2(B)$ are weakly dense in $\pi_1(A)''$ and $\pi_2(B)''$. In particular, $\pi_1(A)\overline{\otimes}\pi_2(B) = \pi_1(A)''\,\overline{\otimes}\pi_2(B)''$; and, an appeal to Tomita's Commutation Theorem then yields $(\pi_1(A)\overline{\otimes}\pi_2(B))' = \pi_1(A)'\,\overline{\otimes}\pi_2(B)' \subseteq \mathbb{C}I$, which shows that π is irreducible.

Next we claim that $\ker\pi = A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B = K$(say). Clearly, $A\widehat{\otimes}F$ and $E\widehat{\otimes}B$ are both contained in $\ker\pi$; so that $K \subseteq \ker\pi$. For the other containment, consider the quotient map $\theta : A\widehat{\otimes}B \to A/E\widehat{\otimes}B/F$ with $\ker\theta = K$. Since, $\ker\pi$ contains $\ker\theta$, by Lemma 2.2, $\theta(\ker\pi)$ is a closed ideal of $A/E\widehat{\otimes}B/F$ with $\theta^{-1}(\theta(\ker\pi)) = \ker\pi$. If $\theta(\ker\pi) \neq 0$, then it must contain a non-zero elementary tensor say $(a+E)\otimes(b+F)$ [14, Proposition 3.7]. Now $a\otimes b \in \ker\pi$ implies $\pi_1(a)\otimes\pi_2(b) = 0$, which further implies that either $a \in E$ or $b \in F$, so that $(a+E)\otimes(b+F) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\ker\pi \subseteq \ker\theta = K$.

(2) Let $K = \ker\pi$ for some irreducible $*$-representation π of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ on H. By [24, Lemma IV.4.1], there exist commuting $*$-representations $\pi_1 : A \to B(H)$ and $\pi_2 : B \to B(H)$ such that

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b),\ \forall\, a \in A,\ b \in B.$$

Now, $\pi(A \otimes B) = \pi_1(A)\pi_2(B)$, so $\pi(A\widehat{\otimes}B) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\pi_1(A)\pi_2(B))$. Thus, we obtain

$$(\pi_1(A)\pi_2(B))^{'} = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_1(A)\pi_2(B))^{'} \subseteq \pi(A\widehat{\otimes}B)^{'} = \mathbb{C}I.$$

Also, note that π_1 and π_2 are both factor representations as for $P = \pi_1(A)^{''}$ and $Q = \pi_2(B)^{''}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \cap P^{'} &= \pi_1(A)^{''} \cap \pi_1(A)^{'} \\ &= (\pi_1(A)^{'} \cup \pi_1(A))^{'} \\ &\subseteq (\pi_2(B) \cup \pi_1(A))^{'} && (\text{as}\, \pi_1(A)\, \text{and}\, \pi_2(B)\, \text{commute}) \\ &\subseteq \{\pi_1(A)\pi_2(B)\}^{'} \\ &= \mathbb{C}I. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $E = \ker \pi_1$ and $F = \ker \pi_2$. Then E and F, being kernels of factor representations, are both prime ideals [4, II.6.1.11]. Also, by the definition of π, $A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B \subseteq K$. For the reverse containment, consider $a \otimes b \in K$. Then, we have $\pi_1(a)\pi_2(b) = 0$. Since $\pi_1(A)^{''}$ is a factor and $\pi_2(B)^{''} \subseteq \pi_1(A)^{'}$, using [24, Proposition IV.4.20], we see that either $\pi_1(a) = 0$ or $\pi_2(b) = 0$, i.e., $a \otimes b$ belongs to either $A\widehat{\otimes}F$ or $E\widehat{\otimes}B$. In both cases, $a \otimes b \in A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B$. Finally, exactly on the lines of (1), we conclude that $K \subseteq A\widehat{\otimes}F + E\widehat{\otimes}B$.

(3) If A and B are separable, then every prime ideal is a primitive ideal. So, the result follows from parts (1) and (2). ☐

In particular, among all the five proper closed ideals of $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ - see [14, Theorem 3.12]- namely, $\{0\}$, $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)$, $K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$, $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H) + K(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$ and $K(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)$, the first four are prime as well primitive.

We now discuss the modular ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. In a Banach algebra A, an ideal I is said to be *modular* (or regular) if there exists an $e \in A$ such that $xe - x, ex - x \in I$ for all $x \in A$, or equivalently, if A/I is unital. It is clear that every proper ideal in a unital Banach algebra is modular. Also, $\{0\}$ is modular if and only if A is unital.

If I is a closed modular ideal of A, then the product ideal $I\widehat{\otimes}A$ need not be modular in $A\widehat{\otimes}A$. This can be seen by considering $A = C_0(X)$, where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space (non-compact). A closed modular ideal of $C_0(X)$ is of the form $I(E) = \{f \in A : f(E) = 0\}$, where E is a compact subset of X [15]. So let us consider a closed modular ideal $I = I(E)$ of A. Now note that

$$I\widehat{\otimes}A \subseteq A\widehat{\otimes}A \subseteq A \otimes_\lambda A = C_0(X \times X),$$

where 'λ' is the Banach space injective tensor product. This shows that $I\widehat{\otimes}A \subseteq I(E \times X)$. Thus, $I\widehat{\otimes}A$ is not modular, $I(E \times X)$ not being modular. In fact, we have the following result which characterizes the modular product ideals.

Theorem 3.3. *For closed modular ideals I and J of A and B respectively, $I\widehat{\otimes}J$ is modular in $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ if and only if both A and B are unital.*

Proof. If A and B are both unital, then so is $A\widehat{\otimes}B$; so that every ideal is modular. Conversely, let $I\widehat{\otimes}J$ be a modular ideal. Since $A\widehat{\otimes}J$ and $I\widehat{\otimes}B$ both contain $I\widehat{\otimes}J$, both are modular ideals of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Using Lemma 2.2, we have an isomorphism between $(A\widehat{\otimes}B)/(A\widehat{\otimes}J)$ and $A\widehat{\otimes}(B/J)$, and similarly between

$(A\widehat{\otimes}B)/(I\widehat{\otimes}B)$ and $(A/I)\widehat{\otimes}B$. Therefore, $A\widehat{\otimes}(B/J)$ and $(A/I)\widehat{\otimes}B$ are unital which further show that A and B are both unital [20, Theorem 1]. □

In particular, $K(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)$ is a closed modular ideal of $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}B(H)$, but it is not modular in $B(H)\widehat{\otimes}K(H)$. However, the maximal modular ideals behave well in $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ as can be seen in the following result:

Theorem 3.4. *A closed ideal K of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is maximal modular if and only if $K = A\widehat{\otimes}N + M\widehat{\otimes}B$ for some maximal modular ideals M and N of A and B, respectively.*

Proof. Let K be a maximal modular ideal of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Since every maximal modular ideal is also a maximal ideal, K is of the form $K = A\widehat{\otimes}N + M\widehat{\otimes}B$ for some maximal ideals M and N of A and B respectively [14, Theorem 3.11]. Now $(A\widehat{\otimes}B)/K$ is unital and is isomorphic to $A/M\widehat{\otimes}B/N$, by Lemma 2.2 ; therefore, the latter space is unital. But this implies that A/M and B/N are both unital [20, Theorem 1]. Thus, M and N are also modular ideals of A and B respectively.

For the converse, let $K = A\widehat{\otimes}N + M\widehat{\otimes}B$, where M and N are maximal modular ideals of A and B respectively. Then, M and N being maximal, by [14, Theorem 3.11], K is also a maximal ideal. Also, the facts that $(A\widehat{\otimes}B)/K$ and $A/M\widehat{\otimes}B/N$ are isomorphic, and A/M and that B/N are both unital, together imply that $A\widehat{\otimes}B/K$ is unital, so that K is modular. □

4. Wiener Property and Symmetry

A Banach $*$-algebra is said to have *Wiener property* if every proper closed two-sided ideal is annihilated by an irreducible $*$-representation [22]. Wiener property for group algebras and the weighted group algebras has been studied in [12, 21] and others. It is well known that every C^*-algebra has Wiener property.

Theorem 4.1. *The Banach $*$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ has Wiener property.*

Proof. Consider a proper closed two-sided ideal J of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Let $J_{\min}$ denote the closure of $i(J)$ in $A \otimes_{\min} B$, where $i : A\widehat{\otimes}B \to A \otimes_{\min} B$ is the canonical homomorphism. By [16, Theorem 6], $J_{\min}$ is also a proper closed two-sided ideal of the C^*-algebra $A \otimes_{\min} B$, and so it is annihilated by an irreducible $*$-representation $\pi : A \otimes_{\min} B \to B(H)$. Note that the isometry of involution gives i is $*$-preserving, so that we have a $*$-representation $\hat{\pi} := \pi \circ i$ of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ on H. Using injectivity of i [13], we have $\hat{\pi}(J) = \{0\}$. Also, the relation $\hat{\pi}(A \otimes B) = \pi(A \otimes B)$ gives

$$\hat{\pi}(A\widehat{\otimes}B)' \subseteq \pi(A \otimes B)' = \pi(A \otimes_{\min} B)' = \mathbb{C}I,$$

where the equality between the middle expressions follows from the norm density of $\pi(A \otimes B)$ in $\pi(A \otimes_{\min} B)$. This further implies that $\hat{\pi}$ is irreducible; hence, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ has Wiener property. □

A Banach $*$-algebra is said to be *symmetric* if every element of the form x^*x has positive spectrum, or equivalently, every self adjoint element has a real spectrum [22, Theorem 10.4.17]. Symmetry in group algebras has been investigated by various authors, see, for instance, [21, 19]. One can easily verify that a Banach $*$-algebra A is symmetric if and only if for every left modular ideal I of A with modular unit α, the set S_I of Hermitian sesquilinear forms given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_I = \{B : A \times A \to \mathbb{C} \mid B_\alpha = B, B(I,A) = \{0\}, B(u,u) \geq 0, \\ B(uw,vw) = B(v^*uw,w),\ \forall u,v,w \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

is non-trivial, where $B_\alpha(v,w) := B(v\alpha, w\alpha)$, $\forall v,w \in A$ [21]. It is well known that every C^*-algebra is symmetric [22]. For C^*-algebras A and B, we do not know whether the Banach $*$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is symmetric or not, but if one of them is subhomogeneous, then we have an affirmative answer. Recall that a C^*-algebra A is *subhomogeneous* if there exists a positive integer n such that each irreducible representation of A has dimension less than or equal to n.

We first modify a result from [16] in terms of operator algebras. We say that a Banach algebra A is an *operator algebra* if there exists a Hilbert space H and a bicontinuous homomorphism of A into $B(H)$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If A and B are operator algebras, then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is a Banach algebra. If A and B both have isometric involutions then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is a Banach $*$-algebra.*

Proof. It is known that if A is an operator algebra then the multiplication operator $m : A \otimes_h A \to A$ given by $m(a \otimes b) = ab$ is completely bounded [6, Theorem 1.3]. Using this result, we get the completely bounded operators

$$m_A : A \otimes_h A \to A \text{ and } m_B : B \otimes_h B \to B.$$

Now consider the canonical map $i : A\widehat{\otimes}A \to A \otimes_h A$, which is a completely contractive homomorphism. Then, the multiplication operator $m'_A : A\widehat{\otimes}A \to A$, which can be regarded as $m'_A = m_A \circ i$, is completely bounded. Similarly, the multiplication operator $m'_B : B\widehat{\otimes}B \to B$ is also completely bounded. In particular, the operator

$$m'_A \otimes m'_B : (A\widehat{\otimes}A)\widehat{\otimes}(B\widehat{\otimes}B) \to A\widehat{\otimes}B$$

is bounded. Using the commutativity of '$\wedge$', the operator

$$m'_A \otimes m'_B : (A\widehat{\otimes}B)\widehat{\otimes}(A\widehat{\otimes}B) \to A\widehat{\otimes}B$$

is also bounded. Hence, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is a Banach algebra. The proof for involution follows as in [16]. ☐

LEMMA 4.3. *Let A and B be C^*-algebras with either A or B finite-dimensional. Then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is a symmetric operator algebra.*

Proof. If A or B is finite dimensional, then clearly, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is $*$-isomorphic to $A \otimes_{\min} B$, which gives the required result.

☐

LEMMA 4.4. *If A is a commutative unital C^*-algebra and B is a symmetric unital operator algebra with isometric involution, then $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Note that $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is a Banach $*$-algebra by Proposition 4.2. Let $\Phi(A)$ denote the set of maximal ideals of A, then it is in one-one correspondence with the space of non-zero $*$-homomorphisms of A. For $M \in \Phi(A)$, define $h_M : A \otimes B \to B$ by $h_M(\sum a_i \otimes b_i) = \sum a_i(M)b_i$. It is bounded with respect to '$\wedge$'-norm, so can be extended to $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ as a $*$-homomorphism. Then, by [18, Corollary 2], an element x of $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is invertible if and only if $h_M(x)$ is invertible for each maximal ideal M of A. Thus,

$$\sigma(x) = \bigcup_{M\in\Phi(A)} \sigma(h_M(x)),$$

where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the spectrum of x in $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. Now consider a self-adjoint element u in $A\widehat{\otimes}B$. For any $M \in \Phi(A)$, h_M being $*$-preserving, $h_M(u)$ is self-adjoint in B. But B is symmetric, so

$$\sigma(u) = \bigcup_{M\in\Phi(A)} \sigma(h_M(u)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is symmetric. ☐

Remark 4.5. *Note that one can also prove the above lemma using an argument similar to that in* [8, Corollary 3.3].

Theorem 4.6. *If A is a subhomogeneous C^*-algebra, then for any C^*-algebra B, $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Since $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ can be isometrically embedded in $A^{**}\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ as a closed $*$-subalgebra, it is sufficient to show that $A^{**}\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is symmetric. Let A be n-subhomogeneous, then A^{**} is a direct sum of type I_m von Neumann algebras for $m \leq n$ [4, Theorem IV.1.4.6]. Also each type I_m von Neumann algebra is isomorphic to $M_m\overline{\otimes}C$, where M_m is the set of $m \times m$ complex matrices and C is a commutative von Neumann algebra [4, III.1.5.12]. Thus, $A^{**}\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is $*$-isomorphic (not necessarily isometrically) to a direct sum of some $M_m(C)\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$. For each m, $M_m(C)$ is isomorphic to $M_m\widehat{\otimes}C$; so, using the commutativity and associativity of the operator space projective norm, we get $M_m(C)\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is $*$-isomorphic to $C\widehat{\otimes}(M_m\widehat{\otimes}B^{**})$. Note that, Lemma 4.3 gives $M_m\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is an operator algebra with an isometric involution and is symmetric; so, by Lemma 4.4, $M_m(C)\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is symmetric. Hence, $A^{**}\widehat{\otimes}B^{**}$ is symmetric being the direct sum of symmetric Banach $*$-algebras [22, Theorem 11.4.2] ☐

Remark 4.7. *If A is commutative and B is any C^*-algebra, then, by* [8, Corollary 3.3]*, $A \otimes_\gamma B$ is symmetric. However, the symmetry of $A \otimes_\gamma B$ when A is subhomogeneous and B is any C^*-algebra follows as in Theorem 4.6.*

References

[1] S. D. Allen, A.M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith, The ideal structure of the Haagerup tensor product of C^*-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. **442**(1993), 111-148.

[2] R. J. Archbold, A counter example for commutation in tensor products of C^*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. **81(4)**(1981), 562-564.

[3] R. J. Archbold, E. Kaniuth, G. Schlichting and D. W. B. Somerset, Ideal space of the Haagerup tensor product of C^*-algebras, Internat. J. Math. **8**(1997), 1-29.

[4] B. Blackadar, Operator algebras- Theory of C^*-algebras and von-Neumann algebras, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[5] D. P. Blecher, Tensor products which do not preserve operator algebras, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **108**(1990), 395-403.

[6] D. P. Blecher and C. LeMerdy, On quotients of function algebras and operator algebra structures on l_p, J. Operator Theory **34**(1995), 315-346.

[7] D. P. Blecher and V. I. Paulsen, Tensor product of operator spaces, J. Funct. Anal. **99**(1991), 262-292.

[8] R. A. Bonic, Symmetry in group algebras of discrete groups, Pacific J. Math **11(1)**(1961), 73-94.

[9] E. G. Effros and Z. J. Ruan, On matricially normed spaces, Pacific J. Math. **132(2)**(1988), 243-264.

[10] E. G. Effros and Z. J. Ruan, A new approach to operator space, Canad. Math. Bull. **34(3)**(1991), 329-337.

[11] U. Haagerup, The Grothendieck inequality for bilinear forms on C^*-algebras, Advances in Math. **56**(1985), 93-116.

[12] W. Hauenschild, E. Kaniuth and A. Kumar, Ideal structure of Beurling algebras on $[FC]^-$ groups, J. Funct. Anal. **51**(1983), 213-228.

[13] R. Jain and A. Kumar, Operator space tensor products of C^*-algebras, Math. Zeit. **260**(2008), 805-811.

[14] R. Jain and A. Kumar, Operator space projective tensor product: Embedding into second dual and ideal structure, arXiv:1106.2644v1 [math.OA] 14 Jun 2011.

[15] E. Kaniuth, A course in commutative Banach algebras, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[16] A. Kumar, Operator space projective tensor product of C^*-algebras, Math. Zeit. **237**(2001), 211-217.

[17] A. Kumar and A. M. Sinclair, Equivalence of norms on operator space tensor products of C^*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350**(1998), 2033-2048.

[18] A. Lebow, Maximal ideals in tensor products of Banach algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74(11)**(1968), 1020-1022.

[19] H. Leptin and D. Poguntke, Symmetry and non symmetry for locally compact groups, J. Funct. Anal. **33**(1979), 119-134.

[20] R. J. Loy, Identities in tensor product of Banach algebras, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **2**(1970), 253-260.

[21] J. Ludwig, A class of symmetric and a class of Wiener group algebras, J. Funct. Anal. **31**(1979), 187-194.

[22] T. W. Palmer, Banach algebras and the general theory of $*$-algebras II, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[23] R. R. Smith, Completely bounded module maps and the Haagerup tensor product, J. Funct. Anal. **102**(1991), 156-175.

[24] M. Takesaki, Theory of operator algebras I, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[25] J. Tomiyama, Applications of Fubini type theorem to the tensor products of C^*-algebras, Tohoku Math J. **19(2)**(1967), 213-226.

[26] S. Wassermann, The slice map problem for C^*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. **32(3)**1976, 537-559.

[27] S. Wassermann, On tensor products of certain group C^*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. **23**(1976), 239-254.

Department of Mathematics, Lady Shri Ram College for Women, New Delhi-110024, India.
E-mail address: `ranjanaj_81@rediffmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India.
E-mail address: `akumar@maths.du.ac.in`